6/W.M. 1/13/03

December 23, 2002 (Monday)

03500.015264.

PATENT APPLICATION

SANA SA	IN THE UNITED STATES PAT	ENT A	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
gn re Application of:)	
5		:	Examiner: B. L. Mutschler
YOSHITAKA NAGAO, ET AL.)	
		:	Group Art Unit: 1753
Application No.: 09/824,092)	
		:	
Filed:	April 3, 2001)	
For:	FACING MATERIAL,)	OEN/ED
	FABRICATING METHOD	:	RECEIVED
	THEREOF, SOLAR CELL)	JAN 1 1 2003
	MODULE, MANUFACTURING	:	TC 1700
	METHOD THEREOF,)	TC 1700
	INSTALLING METHOD	:	·
	THEREOF, AND)	
	PHOTOVOLTAIC	:	

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

APPARATUS

POWER-GENERATING

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated November 22, 2002,

Applicants hereby provisionally elect to prosecute the Group I claims, namely Claims 1 to

22 and 28. The Restriction Requirement is, however, traversed.

Traversal is on the ground that there would not be undue burden in examining the three groups of claims in a single application. In particular, MPEP § 808

makes clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions, reasons for insisting upon a restriction requirement, such as undue burden, must also be shown. In the present instance, it is not believed that there would be undue burden in examining the three groups of claims in a single application, since the three groups of claims are not so different as would require a burden on the Examiner that is significantly beyond that of the normal burdens of examination.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,

California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to
our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 52,3/0

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 55738 v 1